UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CATHY BARBER, STEVEN B. BARBER, and STEVEN B. BARBER II,

Plaintiffs,

v. 1:06-CV-630 (FJS/RFT)

RLI INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL

OFFICE OF DANIEL A. EHRING DANIEL A. EHRING, ESQ.

20 Corporate Woods Boulevard Albany, New York 12211 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

KAUFMAN BORGEEST & JOAN M. GILBRIDE, ESQ. RYAN LLP LEONARD B. COOPER, ESQ.

200 Summit Lake Drive Valhalla, New York 10595 Attorneys for Defendant

POWERS & SANTOLA, LLP DANIEL R. SANTOLA, ESQ.

39 North Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207-2785 Attorneys for Applicants

SCULLIN, Senior Judge

ORDER

Currently before the Court is Arthur Ceas, Cecelia Beaudin, Marleen M. Izzano, Adina Schultz and Katherine Morelli's ("Applicants") motion to intervene in this action. *See* Dkt. No.

Case 1:06-cv-00630-FJS-RFT Document 37 Filed 05/14/10 Page 2 of 2

32. Plaintiffs do not oppose this motion and, in fact, filed papers in support thereof. See Dkt.

No. 33. Defendant does not oppose the Applicants' motion "to the extent that it seeks the sole

relief of intervention." See Dkt. No. 34 at 1.²

In light of the fact that the parties have no opposition to the Applicants' motion to

intervene in this action, the Court hereby

ORDERS that Arthur Ceas, Cecelia Beaudin, Marleen M. Izzano, Adina Schultz and

Katherine Morelli's motion to intervene in this action is **GRANTED**; and the Court further

ORDERS that the Clerk of the Court shall add Arthur Ceas, Cecelia Beaudin, Marleen

M. Izzano, Adina Schultz and Katherine Morelli as intervenor-plaintiffs in this action; and the

Court further

ORDERS that this matter is referred to Magistrate Judge Treece for all further pretrial

matters, including the resolution of the Applicants' request to amend the complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 14, 2010

Syracuse, New York

Senior United States District Court Judge

¹ It also appears that the Applicants seek to amend the complaint in this action. This Order does not address that request.

² Defendant does, however, oppose the Applicants' request to amend the complaint. See

Dkt. No. 34 at 5.

-2-